## EMPERANCE RE

ETERNAL HOSTILITY TO THE LIQUOR TRAFFIC:

S. F. CARY, EDITOR.

country newspaps, and suite to take the armore crops will write:

Int a smile played on the first ship seem blanck varieties that a smile played on the first ship as first and proved on the chapter the smith ship of the loves indicated as the chapter the smith ship and middle control of the chapter the smith ship and middle control of the chapter the smith ship and middle chapter the chapter the smith ship and middle chapter the chapt

VOLUME 2.

CINCINNATI, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 16, 1853.

NO. 49 WHOLE NO. Hash of

[From the Cleveland Commercial.] Divorce for Drunkenness.

Ma. Epiroa:—I rejoice that this unnatural law is less advocated in connection with temperance, and am confident that as higher and purer principles gain ascendency the causes that led to its adoption will cease, and with them every possible plea for the necessity of its existence. Who can calculate the legislative, and judiciary expense caused by this one question, to say nothing of the waste of ink and paper, and the wear and tear of lungs and tongues by outside debates pro inshini Men.

When a law, granting a divorce for this crime, was first enacted in Maine, a few years prior to the liquor law, it was regarded by its advocates as a wonderful discovery in the science of benevolence:

How true it is that one error, not only requires a score of errors to conceal its true character; but a constant increase of erroneous attendants to prevent indirect exposure. Such was this law, a legitimate offspring of error and expedience. The alarming increase of drunkenness, with a corresponding increase of pauperism first suggested the idea. But how said the conscientious and reflecting opponent,

The economizing politition answered, by allowing those thousands of hard-laboring mothers, wives of drunkards, their own properties and wages for the sustenance of their children; which, now in their married state belong to their husbands with the legal right to squander all in drunkenness, and drive their unprotected families into starvation, or pauperism. How unspeakably wise! First, they make laws depriving women of her inalienable right to the fruit of her own labor, every intelligent being ; then, to less-en (or conceal) the evils of this error, they make another law, annulling the Mt. Garrol, III. Oct.; 1853. first and most sacred earthly relation ever instituted by the Creator, that which binds in conjugal unity the male and female for the mutual harmony and continuance of our race. How cedars of the mountain, bless him long will man, blind, ignorant selfish man assume to be wiser than his maker! If the evils of the firstnamed legal wrong, the degradation, oppression, and suffering resulting from woman's slavish dependence, are incalculable, what must be those consequent upon this sacreligious invasion of the rights of future generations ? Who in imagination can trace the serpentine windings of those demoniac influences as they stamp their godless image upon posterity?

lowed license of a divorce, (except for the one crime;) yet, no lover of justice can regard as right any law that directly or indirectly compels the innocent wife to endure year after year the outrages of her drunken husband, who, not only has the physical power to abuse her bodily, but the legal power to deprive her of her property and wages, and in case of divorce of sinking fatigued and burning in the

social compacts. But any law denying woman's equal claim to her children, or to her individual property and wages, is an unmitigated wrong, one which deprives her of the privilege of separating from her husband upon equal trens without divorce, when his own vices render a separation absolutely necessary a wrong that no additional amount of wrong can

ever make right.

It would be quite as logical for the inhabitants of the northern bemisphere. (supposing they had the power) to remove the earth a little farther from the sun in the summer, and a little nearer in the winter. How selfishly comfortable it would make them ! might perhaps freeze and scorch our becomes earnest by practice. Mark southern neighbors, and disarrange that! Next promise each other sinsome of nature's laws; but they of neces ity must invent some method to neces ity must invent some method its neces ity must invent some method in the neces ity must invent some method in the neces ity must be necessarily must b some of nature's laws; but they of neces ity must inventione method to counteract the evils of those impravements.

If all the erroneous laws, and all the revisions, and supplements, and sub-supplements that have been added, amended, and appended to sanction primary errors, were expurgated from our national and state codes, the true and needful would be reduced to homopathic doses, which might be administered with infinitely more safety to the well-being of our social and political systems. The Maine liquor law is, however, a safe and effectual which nature's God has chartered to remedy for drunkenness and obviates

> the insects sport in his beams-the orb of day-the birds sing him in the marriages were happier than, alia, toliage—the thunder proctains him in they are !—The Pacific. the heavens-the ocean declares his immensity — man alone has said,
> "There is no God?" Unite in
> thought, at the same instant, the most beautiful objects in nature; suppose you see at once all the hours of the day, and all the seasons of the year; a morning of Spring and a morning of Autumn: a night bespangled with stars, and night covered with clouds; meadows enameled with flowers; for

EXISTENCE OF GOD.-There is a

splendor is perhaps that is most bean- breathless, we should tiful, for it gives us an idea of the is 'Why, what is the matter with perpetual magnificence and resistless you?' said the proprietor, seeing her power of God .- Chatequbriand. rush in, in such confusion.

THE SECRET OF MATRIMONIAL HAP-PINESS .- Zschoke, in one of his tales, riness.—Zschoke, in one of his tales, gives the following advice to a bride a "In thy first solitary hour after the ceremony, take the bridegroom and demand a solemn vow of him, and give him a vow in return. Promise one another sacredly, never, not even in jest, to wrang'e with each other; never to bandy words, or indulge in the least ill-humor. Never, I say, never! wrangle in jest. Putting on an air of ill-humor merely to tease, an air of ill-humor merely to tease, pretext, with whatever excuse it might be. You must continually, and every moment, see clearly into each other's hearts. Even when one of you have committed a fault, wait not an instant, but confess it freely—Let it cost tears, but confess it. And as you keep nothing secret from each other, so, on the contrary, preserve the privacies of your house, marriage state, and heart, from father, mother, sister, brother, aunt, and all the world. You two, with God's help, build your own quiet world; every third or lourth one whom you draw into it with you, will form a party, and stand between you two. That should never be. Promise this to each other. Renew the vow at each temptation. You will find your account in it. Your souls will grow, as it were, together, and at last will become as one. Ah, if many young pair had, on their weddingelephant salutes him with the rising day, known this secret, how many

"Geography class come up."

- "Mary, what's a city arright marking bear up.
- "Next?"
  "Next?"
  "An unoccupied town having cer-
- tain excluded privileges." on a second with the second sec

her children. The conjoined relationship of parents, gives each an equal natural claim to their offspring, a claim that no human legislation can justly disjoin, or divide, which of itself, proves the sacredness of nature's conjugal union. Yet, for the welfare of the children, when one parent is totally unfitted, it is right, that the other should guard them, and the case may then very properly come under the direction of social compacts. But any law denying woman's equal claim to her children.

'Och ? sure, sar, but ye's sint me to toe old divel himself, in human, eld a meeting among then scives. I mrole

What do you mean has he dared to insult 'a help' from my office ? to inquired the man.

Yis, sur,' returned the girl-' he's the divel.

What did he do to you? tell me, and I'l fix him for it, said he, quite no exasperated.

Why, sur, whilst I was talkin' to him about the wages, he turned up the bottom of his fut, and wid a splinter in his fingers, sur, he jis gave one strike, and the fire flew out of his fat, and housed the stick, and he lighted his segar wid it, right afore my own face. He's the divil, sure, my sur.'—N. F. Pirayune.

THE NEXRET WAY .-- The bible describes the path to heaven as "a strait and narrow;" and "the road to destruction" as "broad" and easy to travel. One of our exchange papers recently noticed the title of a drinking saloon or something of that sort, as the nearest way house. This led to the following reflections. This house is the "nearest way,"

1. To lose self-respect.

2. To forfeit public esteem.

3. To a loss of property, and a gain

of poverty and want. and misery—the nearest way to infa-di be my-the nearest way to utter moral di lo ruin—the nearest way to physical imbecility—the nearest way to death—
the nearest way to hell!

Young man, ponder the aignificance of that sign ere you enter, and read and re-read its solemn teaching ... " the At anothers mearest way house !"

Swat. A BIRD LESSON - This little fellow," said Martin Lutherof a bird going to roost, " has chosen his shelter, and is quietly rocking himself to H sleep without a care for to morrow's good lodging; calmly holding by his little total twig, and leaving God alone to think a flot of him."